

Reporting project outcomes for European Research Council (ERC) and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) grants funded under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme

Updated 15 August 2024

Contents

Overview.....	2
Researchfish and the Horizon Europe guarantee.....	2
Why we ask you to report.....	3
Focus on quality.....	4
Focus on impact	4
Attribution of records.....	4
Use unique identifiers	5
How to report.....	5
Who can report on Researchfish?.....	5
Adding Team Members or Delegates.....	6
Horizon Europe guarantee grant types	6
ERC Starting, Consolidator, Advanced, and Proof of Concept guarantee grants:	6
MSCA Postdoctoral Fellowships guarantee grants:.....	7
ERC Synergy, MSCA Doctoral Networks, MSCA Staff Exchanges, MSCA COFUND, MSCA Citizens, and MSCA Researchers at Risk guarantee grants:	7
Reporting on your project when your grant has been transferred	9
Additional questions.....	9
What you need to report for your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme grant	10
Research Outcomes Common Question Set.....	11
Additional funder questions	17
Reporting for grants that do not yet have outcomes	20

Please direct any questions on the guarantee guidance to eugrantsfunding@ukri.org

Overview

UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) uses the [Researchfish](#) online system to collect information on the outcomes that have arisen from UKRI-funded research and training¹.

Recipients of UKRI funding are required to record the outputs, outcomes, and impacts of their UKRI-funded research in Researchfish, and to submit their up-to-date records to UKRI during annual outcomes submission periods. Following each submission period, UKRI and the Department for Science, Innovation and Technology (DSIT) will review the information submitted to Researchfish as part of ongoing evaluation activities.

Award holders can record and update outcomes on Researchfish at any point during the year and are encouraged to do so. However, there is a six-week annual period called the 'Submission Period' (normally between early February and mid-March). This is when award holders confirm their records are correct and up to date by submitting them to UKRI. Outcome records in Researchfish that are not submitted cannot be used by UKRI.

Researchfish and the Horizon Europe guarantee

For grants funded under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme through the Joint electronic submission (Je-S) system and/or the UKRI Funding Service, research outcomes will need to be reported through Researchfish.

You will need to use this guidance if your project:

- is a monobeneficiary guarantee grant being funded through Je-S and/or the UKRI Funding Service
- is part of a multiple-grant project (multi-beneficiary) guarantee grant being funded through Je-S and/or the UKRI Funding Service
- has outcomes or not

UKRI expects the following guidance to be used to ensure that the reports provide information that is of interest to funders. The Researchfish system is the primary means by which UKRI is kept informed of the results of UKRI investments, so it is important that award holders create accurate records to describe the outputs, outcomes and impacts of their projects.

¹ The following UKRI councils use the Researchfish® system: AHRC, BBSRC, EPSRC, ESRC, MRC, NERC and STFC

If you are a Principal Investigator (PI), you will need to report outcomes from the point it has been active for six months or more under the guarantee (for the 2025 Researchfish submission period, this will include any award starting before 13 September 2024).

By submitting your outcomes you acknowledge and agree to [UKRI's principles of use for research outcomes collected in Researchfish](#).

Please note that the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) are not involved in the processing of the awards. Although grants are being hosted by EPSRC on the Je-S system, there will be no EPSRC-specific rules or questions asked in the reporting for the guarantee grants.

In addition to the Researchfish submission expectations for post-award reporting, UKRI expects UK guarantee grant holders with multi-beneficiary awards to provide reports to the project or lead coordinator as expected within the Horizon Europe consortium. The information submitted by UK partners in the periodic reports to the European Commission should also be submitted in Researchfish where appropriate.

The [Researchfish website](#) offers guidance on logging into and using Researchfish. Contact the Researchfish support team by email at support@Researchfish.com or [online via their live chat service](#) (available 9am – 5pm Monday to Friday) if you have any issues using the system.

Why we ask you to report

Research outcomes are made publicly available for the purposes of transparency, impact evaluation and analysis, accountability, and knowledge sharing on UKRI's [Gateway to Research](#).

Reporting your Horizon Europe guarantee grant research outcomes through Researchfish will also help UKRI:

- Evidence the importance of international collaborations for the UK's research and innovation community.
- Show the importance of the UK's collaborations with European framework programmes.
- Provide evidence for the upcoming evaluation of the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme.
- Create positive case studies and success stories to highlight the importance of the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme for the UK's research and innovation community.

As [Gateway to Research](#) is in the public domain, confidential or sensitive personal information, and details of un-protected intellectual property, should not be included in any outcomes submitted to UKRI.

More information can be found on the '[Reporting your project's outcomes](#)' page on our website.

Focus on quality

We are looking for high quality, concise submissions that provide strong evidence of impact. You only need to provide a brief overview for each output and the key outcomes it led to. Please make use of the URL field to direct the reader to further information about the output.

There are 16 output sections in the Researchfish common question set, but you only need to use the sections relevant to your award. It is not about the number of outputs you attribute to your award – it is better to submit a small number of outputs with clear evidence of high value impact, than lots of outputs that are not relevant or did not generate any impact.

Take care to think about which section is the most appropriate before creating an output, and try not to duplicate information across different sections.

Remember that the data you provide will be published on the Gateway to Research website and will be used for analysis and evaluation purposes, so it is important that it is accurate and complete.

Focus on impact

Most sections have an impact text field. Please use these sections to briefly highlight the most important impact that the output led to, in terms that will be familiar and engaging for a general audience. Remember to include who this made a difference to and why it matters. You can provide links to further details or to evidence of the impact.

Please don't tell us about impact you hope to achieve in the future. This can be recorded in a future submission period, once realised.

Attribution of records

Researchfish allows you to create records of outputs and then attribute them to your awards. Only attribute outputs to awards that directly led to the output and make sure that all relevant outputs are attributed. Here, we are only interested in outputs linked to you guarantee funding.

If you have more than one award, take care not to associate all outputs with all of your awards, unless they are relevant to all of your awards.

Use unique identifiers

Unique identifiers (such as DOIs or ISBNs) are really important to allow us to make the best use of our Researchfish data. We use them to find common outputs between researchers and to link the data to other sources. Records which could have unique identifiers but lack them are much less useful, so please ensure you use these if available.

Unique identifiers don't just apply to publications, they can be added for most other outputs too. For example, when you deposit your data with the UK Data Service, this will create a DOI for the data.

It is also helpful if you link your ORCID and Researchfish accounts, and doing so is likely to enhance the benefits of your return. This allows you to import your publication lists from your ORCID portfolio into your Researchfish portfolio. Researchfish provides guidance on how to do this.

How to report

You must report your project outcomes and outputs in the Researchfish online system. The [Researchfish user guide for researchers](#) indicates how to access and use the site and the [help page in Researchfish](#) includes documentation, guides and videos to help navigate the system.

There are ways to make submitting outcomes easier for you and more useful to others, for example by having Researchfish automatically import information. See our advice for [making a high quality submission of outcomes to Researchfish](#).

Contact the Researchfish support team by email at support@Researchfish.com or [online via their live chat service](#) (available 9am – 5pm Monday to Friday) if you have any issues using the system.

Who can report on Researchfish?

If you do not already have a Researchfish account, one will need to be created.

An email invite is needed to create an account in Researchfish. This will be sent to the PIs of the guarantee grants hosted in Je-S or the UKRI Funding Service by UKRI.

The invitation email contains a hyperlink which must be clicked on to confirm the award is linked to the PI's Researchfish account. A PI can invite others as 'Research Team Members' and give them permission to create and add new outcome records to the award in Researchfish.

Invitation emails are sometimes redirected to spam folders, so please ensure these are checked if the email cannot be found in the first instance.

If the PI cannot trace the email invitation associated with the Horizon Europe guarantee grant, please contact the UKRI Research Outcomes Support Team (researchoutcomes@funding.ukri.org) giving the name of the PI and the UKRI Grant reference number.

Adding Team Members or Delegates

As PI you can also add 'delegates' who can help you prepare your submission by creating, editing and attributing the award outcomes.

There are two types of access a PI can grant to another person:

- 'Delegate' access, whereby a PI can grant another person access to all their awards. The delegate will have the same functions as the PI but only the PI is able to submit.
- 'Research Team' access, whereby the PI can grant another person access to a particular award. A research team member can view all outputs associated with an award, and the PI can permit the team member to:
 - Re-use outputs in their own portfolios.
 - Attribute outputs from their portfolio into the PI's award.

A team member can only edit and remove outcomes that they have entered, whilst the PI can edit and remove all outcomes.

Only the PI or a delegate can answer the additional funder's questions and submit all the outcomes in the submission period.

[Video guide: how to add delegates \(YouTube\)](#).

Horizon Europe guarantee grant types

There are two main grant types which are covered by this guidance, ERC guarantee grants and MSCA guarantee grants.

Your Horizon Europe guarantee award(s) could be either monobeneficiary or multi-beneficiary and it is important to identify your grant type and note any specific guidance below (if applicable).

ERC Starting, Consolidator, Advanced, and Proof of Concept guarantee grants:

If you hold one of the above ERC guarantee grant types, please follow the standard process stated above with regards to the PI submitting information into Researchfish.

MSCA Postdoctoral Fellowships guarantee grants:

MSCA Postdoctoral Fellowships are monobeneficiary guarantee grants however, the mentor/supervisor holds the position of the award PI and not the Fellow.

As stated above it is the PI who is ultimately responsible for completing the Researchfish submission; however, it is noted that the Fellow may want to undertake the bulk of the reporting. To facilitate the Fellow to undertake the bulk of the required reporting there are two possible options which the PI could utilise:

1. Add the Fellow as a Delegate

A delegate can do everything the PI can except submit outcomes to the funder and add other people as team members/delegates, so that means a Fellow could also then complete the additional questions, but the PI would still need to submit the outcomes to us.

However, there is a need to highlight that a Delegate has unrestricted access to the PI's account, including all their grants and outcome records.

2. Adding a Fellow as a Research Team member

A Fellow who is added as a Research Team member can add outcomes to the grant. However, if they do so it'll be for the PI to complete the additional questions, and the PI will still need to be the one that submits the recorded outcomes.

In this option the PI could share the wording of any additional questions that are asked and get the Fellow to draft responses which can then be then cut/pasted in prior to submitting the completed return.

ERC Synergy, MSCA Doctoral Networks, MSCA Staff Exchanges, MSCA COFUND, MSCA Citizens, and MSCA Researchers at Risk guarantee grants:

ERC Synergy, MSCA Doctoral Networks, Staff Exchanges, COFUND, Citizens, and Researchers at Risk are all multi-beneficiary guarantee grants.

Within these multi-beneficiary guarantee grant types there are two routes which need to be noted and followed.

1. Consortia with a single UK grant involved
2. Consortia with multiple UK partners (lead/ non lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service grants)

Consortia with a single UK grant involved

If you hold a grant in a consortium with a single UK grant involved, please follow the standard process stated above with regards to the PI submitting information into Researchfish.

Where possible, please do share information across your wider consortium to save your time and ensure consistency. However, when recording outcomes and answering the additional funder questions we are only collecting data which relates to the UK specific contributions to the consortium's work.

Consortia with multiple UK partners (lead/ non lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service grants)

If you are part of a consortia that received multiple grants through Je-S/UKRI Funding Service grants (has multiple UK partners) you can share research outcomes in Researchfish with recipients of the other Je-S//UKRI Funding Service grants. To do this, [follow the add a collaborator instructions](#) in the Researchfish user guide.

How you report your outcomes depends on whether your grant is the lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK grant in the consortium.

Reporting for the lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK grant in a multiple-grant project

If your grant is the lead grant, you should work with the PIs of the other Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK grants in the project to ensure the outcomes you submit represent the project as a whole in its key findings and narrative impact.

Where possible, please do share information across your wider consortium to save your time and ensure consistency. However, when recording outcomes and answering the additional funder questions we are only collecting data which relates to the Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK specific contributions to the consortiums work.

Reporting for other grants in a multiple-grant project (non-lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK)

If your grant is not the lead Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK grant, the outcomes of your work should be attributed to your grant.

When completing the key findings and narrative impact sections (where relevant) you can either :

- I. submit responses specific to your grant, or
- II. work with the PI of the Je-S/UKRI Funding Service/UK lead grant to ensure their responses reflect your contributions to the project as a whole.

If you choose the second option you'll need to answer questions on [key findings and narrative impact](#) as follows, so that the summaries are published on Gateway to Research.



Key findings

1. If asked 'Has your data changed since your last submission?', answer yes.
2. When asked 'Are there any key findings associated with this award?', answer yes.
3. When asked 'What have you discovered or developed through the research funded on the grant?' and 'In what ways might your findings be taken forward or put to use by others?' answer with 'Please see the key findings of Horizon Guarantee grant' and then enter the reference of the lead grant for your project.

Narrative impact

1. If asked 'Has your data changed since your last submission?', answer yes.
2. When asked 'Have the findings from this award contributed to non-academic impacts?', answer yes.
3. When asked 'How have your findings been used? Please provide a brief summary', answer with 'Please see the impact summary of Horizon Guarantee grant' and enter the reference of the lead grant for your project.

Reporting on your project when your grant has been transferred

If your grant has been transferred from one UK organisation to another UK based organisation, the previous grant to the original organisation will be closed and a new one created. The new grant will reflect the unspent funding and the remaining duration of the original.

Researchfish will display two versions of the grant with identical reference numbers but appended with:

- /1 for the original grant
- /2 for the new grant.

Attribute outcomes for the project to the version that was active when the outcomes were identified. If an outcome applies to both versions, select the grant with the longer duration.

Additional questions

For the version of the grant with the longest duration, respond to all [additional questions](#) as if answering for the project as a whole.

For other versions of the grant, respond to any additional questions that are asked as follows:

Key findings



1. If asked “Has your data changed since your last submission?”, answer yes.
2. When asked “Are there any key findings associated with this award?”, answer yes.
3. When asked “What have you discovered or developed through the research funded on the grant?” and “In what ways might your findings be taken forward or put to use by others?”, answer with “Please see the key findings of Horizon Guarantee grant” and enter the UKRI reference of the grant with the longest duration for your project.

Narrative impact

1. If asked “Has your data changed since your last submission?”, answer yes.
2. When asked “Have the findings from this award contributed to non-academic impacts?”, answer yes.
3. When asked “How have your findings been used? Please provide a brief summary”, answer “Please see the impact summary of Horizon Guarantee grant” and enter the UKRI reference of the grant with the longest duration for your project.

Secondments or placements

When asked “Has your team or members of your team been involved in any secondments or placements for a period of time?”, answer no.

Animal use

You will only need to answer these questions if you’ve used animals in your research.

1. If asked “Has your data changed since your last submission?”, respond as appropriate.
2. If asked whether your research “has involved use of vertebrate animals or cephalopods” or “may lead to new or refined methods with the potential to contribute to (a reduction in) the use of animals in research”, answer no.

What you need to report for your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme grant

You will need to tell us about any outcomes or outputs which can be attributed to the guarantee grant funding. For monobeneficiary grant types, this is the whole grant. For multi-beneficiary grant types, this will be related to contributions made by researchers funded through the guarantee scheme regardless of if they are the lead or not and irrespective of which country the activity occurred.

You need to create records in categories called common outcome types to report in Researchfish. Common outcome types cover:

- outputs: such as publications, exhibitions, new research tools or methods.
- outcomes: such as new or improved products, processes, or public policies.

What you need to report will depend on the outcome type. Generally, you will need to describe the output or outcome and, where possible, its impact. Impact includes if it has led to changes that benefit:

- the economy
- society
- culture
- health
- the environment
- quality of life

You will also be asked to record:

- patents and other intellectual property you apply to protect.
- spinout companies you set up as a result of your research.
- collaborative work with industrial or academic partners.
- how your work has contributed to public policy development.
- how non-academic audiences have been informed about or involved in your work.

You can attribute your records to more than one project. Even if there are few or no outputs or outcomes to record, you must confirm that your information in Researchfish is accurate and up to date.

There will be two groups of questions; the Common Question Set, and Additional Funder Questions. The additional funders questions must be completed before a submission can be made.

Research Outcomes Common Question Set

The detailed breakdown of the Common Question Set can be [downloaded from the Researchfish site](#). A wide range of outputs, outcomes and impacts can be recorded in Researchfish using the available 'Common Outcomes'. Most of the 'Common Outcome' sections in Researchfish cover a range of sub-types; if it is unclear in which section a particular record should be created it may help to first review [the subtypes available in each section](#).

Before creating a new record in any common outcome section users can also click on the '[what should I report in this section](#)' to view brief guidance on what kind of information is appropriate to report for that outcome type.

There is no minimum required number of any outcome type, and it is accepted that not all outcome types will be relevant to all award holders or disciplines.

The information that you enter in Researchfish will be publicly available through [Gateway to Research](#). Further guidance on how to complete these sections can be found below:

Section	Guidance	Multi beneficiary grant specific notes
Publications	<p>Tell us about any publications (papers/ books/ journal articles, reports, working papers etc.) that have been published using your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme funding. You will need to select the appropriate outcome sub-type.</p> <p>Within your answers to this section please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only mention publications that have been published, not those that are anticipated for the future. • Where possible, provide details of the country of publication. Please note that it will only be possible to record this information for some publication types, where the field 'place of publication' is available. <p>Helpful tip: Avoid adding 'manual' publications. If you have a unique identifier (such as a DOI or ISBN) then use this to populate the record. It's quicker to do as it will automatically populate the publication details.</p>	<p>Please note that you do not need to report all publications authored within your Horizon Europe consortium here. Only include any outputs that the UK partners have contributed towards, as a result of the guarantee scheme funding.</p>
Collaborations and Partnerships	<p>Tell us about any work you have completed in collaboration with any partner organisations or team members, which have resulted in a tangible output. Please note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These should only be in relation to any organisations who have been involved with your work funded through the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme. <p>Please include collaborations listed as part of your original application, as well as any new collaborations that your award led to. For</p>	<p>Please note that you do not need to list out all the other partners in your consortium here but we require those you work with closely to be reported.</p>

	collaborations that were part of your original application, it is helpful if you can explain how these have evolved since your funding began.	
Further funding	<p>Tell us about any additional funding that has been obtained to aid the project (e.g., additional research grants, fellowships, infrastructure or travel grants). Please note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not include financial contributions from collaborators or partners. These should be recorded in the 'Collaborations and Partnerships' section 	Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project.
Next Destination	Tell us about whether you have employed someone through the Horizon Europe guarantee grant who has since moved on.	Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project.
Engagement Activities	<p>Tell us about any public engagement events or activities where your Horizon Europe guarantee grant research was communicated. You will need to select the appropriate outcome sub-type.</p> <p>This can and should include any communications with non-academic audiences as well.</p> <p>Helpful tip: You do not need to record every instance of engagement. Try to focus on types of activities (for example a series of workshops rather than each individual workshop) and those that had the biggest impact on your audience.</p>	Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project undertaken by the UK based Research Organisation/s.
Artistic & Creative Products	<p>Within your answers to these sections, where applicable, please tell us about the following information under the impacts section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Briefly describe the product, how it contributes to the project and the stakeholders it is targeting. Include here the country in which the product has been developed. 	Please note that you do not need to report all the outputs within the Horizon Europe consortium here. Only include any outputs that the UK
Medical Products, Interventions & Clinical Trials		

<p>Research Databases & Models</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide details of the subsequent use of the outcome, particularly usage within the UK. Include here the number of participants or people who have accessed it. 	<p>partners have contributed towards, as a result of the guarantee scheme funding.</p>
<p>Software & Technical Products</p>		
<p>Research Tools & Methods</p>	<p>Tell us about whether you have derived any new research tools or methods, which are making a significant difference to your research, funded under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme.</p> <p>This could include new research material, such as a new cell line, animal models or setting-up a brand-new method.</p>	<p>Please note that you do not need to report all the outputs within the Horizon Europe consortium here. Only include any outputs that the UK partners have contributed towards, as a result of the guarantee scheme funding.</p>
<p>Influence on Policy, Practice, Patients & the Public</p>	<p>Tell us about whether there has been any impact on policy or practice because of your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme funding.</p> <p>This could include whether your work was recognised or used in any clinical guidelines, committees, or systematic reviews under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme.</p> <p>Only include instances where you have had a demonstrable impact on a policy or practice. If you cannot demonstrate that a policy or practice was changed then it is better to record this as an engagement activity</p> <p>Please provide links to any policy papers in which your research is cited.</p>	<p>Please note that you do not need to report all the outcomes within the Horizon Europe consortium here. Only include any outputs that the UK partners have contributed towards, as a result of the guarantee scheme funding.</p>

<p>Intellectual Property & Licensing</p>	<p>Tell us about details of intellectual property that has arisen from your grant funded under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme.</p> <p>This could include whether you have filed a patent or developed/developing a product.</p>	<p>Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project.</p>
<p>Spin Outs</p>	<p>Please tell us about any private (for-profit or not-for-profit) organisations which you have established or developed as a result of the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme funding.</p>	<p>Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project.</p>
<p>Awards & Recognition</p>	<p>Please tell us about any significant awards or recognition made to you or a member of your research team in recognition of your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme funding.</p> <p>This could include you or a member of your group attended a scientific conference as an invited speaker and talked about your research, awarded a prize, or invited to be on the editorial board of a journal.</p> <p>Please provide details of the reasons for the award/recognition under the description section as given below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contribution made by the person that led to the award/recognition. • How that work is related to the project. • How the contribution for which the award/recognition has been given contributes towards building knowledge. • The country in which the person who was awarded the award/recognition is based. • The role of the person in the project who received the award/recognition. 	<p>Please note that this is only in reference to the UKRI funded part of the project.</p>

	<p>Helpful tip: You should focus on awards and recognitions that are of national or international significance.</p>	
<p>Other Outputs Knowledge and Future Steps</p>	<p>Tell us about anything else you think is an important outcome from your research that cannot be included in another section funded under the Horizon Europe guarantee scheme.</p> <p>Please do not report outputs here which are applicable to other sections of the question set.</p> <p>Within your answers to this section, please provide the following information under the details and impacts section as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give details about the activities that led to the output. • Explain any expected and unexpected impacts and how it has affected the delivery of the project’s outputs, outcomes, and impacts. 	<p>Please note that you can list any relevant shared outcomes with other Horizon Europe consortium members here, where they did not fit under another category.</p>
<p>Use of Facilities & Resources</p>	<p>Please tell us about the details of any shared facility or service you have used to realise the outputs reported against your Horizon Europe guarantee scheme funding.</p> <p>This could include any facilities such as a Clinical Trials Unit or biobank. Please specify the nature of the work the unit undertook on your behalf.</p> <p>If your award includes the use of facilities or resources, please provide the following information under the impacts section as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide details of the list country/countries’ participant usage of UK facilities and resources. • Provide details of UK participant usage of other country/countries’ facilities and resources. 	<p>Please note that you can list any relevant shared facilities or resources with other Horizon Europe consortium members here.</p>

	<p>In each case, please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the (i) facility/resource used, (ii) identifying the organisation which provided the facility/resource and (iii) the country in which the facility/resource is based. • Please also specify if the use was part of a new or pre-existing partnership. • Describe how the use of the facility/resource contributes to the impact of the project. 	
--	---	--

Additional funder questions

For Horizon Europe guarantee grants hosted on Je-S/UKRI Funding Service the additional funder questions that will be asked are:

- Key Findings
- Narrative Impact
- Secondments, placements and internships to or from other organisations
- Animal use

The information that you enter in Researchfish will be publicly available through [Gateway to Research](#). Further guidance on how to complete these sections can be found below:

Section	Guidance	Multi beneficiary grant specific notes
Key Findings	<p>This section should include the main, high-level key findings from the project, particularly in relation to the project's objectives. As far as possible, it should be written for a non-specialist audience.</p> <p>You should address three questions:</p> <p>1. What were the most significant achievements from the award?</p> <p>For example, you could include details about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant new knowledge generated. • New or improved research methods or skills developed. • Important new research resources identified. • Important new research questions opened. 	<p>Please note that this section is answered separately for each award, and outputs reported here cannot be shared across multiple awards.</p> <p>You can use the following aspects of your Horizon Europe consortium reports</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant negative results and/or research paths closed off. • Particularly noteworthy new research networks/collaborations/partnerships, or combinations of these. • Increased research capability generated from training delivered in specialist skills. • Summary information combining outcomes detailed in other sections. <p>2. To what extent were the award objectives met? If you can, briefly explain why any key objectives were not met.</p> <p>It is understood and accepted that sometimes objectives will not be met and that this can be for many reasons. We are asking for information on this because it is important for funders and researchers to understand what the barriers to success in research may be and to help us work through them.</p> <p>You might tell us about, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experimental, methodological or technical issues. • Staffing matters (skills shortages, recruitment delays, unexpected extended leave or departure of staff); please note that any information provided must not make it possible to identify individuals. • Other resourcing issues (e.g. difficulty/delay in securing key equipment). • Important new research questions opened up. • Regulatory changes or other regulatory matters. • Overly challenging initial objectives. • Changing landscape of the research area in which the grant was awarded. • Combinations of the above <p>3. How might the findings be taken forward and by whom?</p> <p>Considering academic and non-academic routes, briefly explain how - and by whom - you envisage your research outcomes being taken forward.</p>	<p>to help complete this section: <i>Technical Report Part B:</i> Publishable Summary Project objectives, work progress, achievements, project management, milestones and deliverables tables.</p>
Narrative Impact	<p>You should update the information entered for your award every year if there is a progress on delivery of impact.</p> <p>Please use this section to summarise, as it evolves, the overall impact of the work supported by this</p>	<p>Please note that this section is answered separately for each award, and outputs reported here cannot be shared</p>

	<p>award. The section can be updated as impact emerges and develops, and it is accepted that impact can occur over a wide and varying timeframe.</p> <p>You should not duplicate descriptions of impact added in other sections, for example in the 'Influence on Policy, Practice, Patients and the Public' section, which should be clear, specific and proven impacts.</p> <p>However, you may wish to draw together and reference entries in other sections in summarising the overall impact. We want to hear more broadly about how the outputs and outcomes of the award you are reporting on are beginning to impact, or have impacted, beyond academia in the public, private or third/voluntary sectors, as well as within academia.</p> <p>For example, please tell us about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of emerging economic and societal impact arising from the award that you are reporting on (including how it has evolved) • A summary of how the findings from your award are impacting the public, private or third/voluntary sectors, and elsewhere • Challenges overcome to achieve impact • Significant impact within academia, for example the nucleation of a new research area • How your research has resulted in change in and beyond academia <p>Do not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repeat specific impacts already associated with the award you are reporting on through other sections of Researchfish 	<p>across multiple awards.</p> <p>You can use the following aspects of your Horizon Europe consortium reports to help complete this section: <i>Technical Report Part B:</i> Publishable Summary Project objectives, work progress, achievements, project management, milestones and deliverables tables.</p>
<p>Secondments, placements and internships to or from other organisations</p>	<p>In this section, please record details of secondments, placements and internships that have taken place in connection with the research supported by this award.</p> <p>Tell us about instances in which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You or anyone else delivering the research supported by the award has gone on temporary secondments, placements or internships whilst engaged in the research. • Individuals have come to work with you, or anyone associated with the research supported by the award from other organisations as part of a temporary secondment, placement or internship. 	<p>Please note that this section is answered separately for each award, and outputs reported here cannot be shared across multiple awards.</p>

	<p>Do not tell us about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students who are not funded by the award but who are working as part of the research team 	
Animal use	<p>If No: We are interested in identifying new or refined methods that have the potential to contribute to the replacement, reduction, or refinement (3Rs) of the use of animals in research. Has your work led to the development of such methods that could be shared/adopted by others? Definitions of the 3Rs can be found at www.nc3rs.org.uk/the-3rs. If not applicable, please answer n/a.</p> <p>If yes, briefly describe these here and the scale of the actual and potential impact e.g. local practice, national policy etc. Please note that if appropriate these should be reported in full in the relevant section of Researchfish such as influence on policy, research tools & methods etc., and need only be referred to here</p>	

Reporting for grants that do not yet have outcomes

How you respond when you have no outcomes to report depends on what stage your project is at.

If your project has not yet ended

If they are asked, respond as appropriate to the Key Findings and Narrative Impact sections.

If this is the first submission period since your project ended

Answer yes to the first question in key findings and complete that section.

If this is the second submission period since your project ended

You should provide a summary of impact to date in the narrative impact section if you have not already provided one.

If it is not yet possible to identify specific impacts you should review the impact that was anticipated in your original grant application and say what steps have been taken in that context.

You can also summarise purely academic impacts in the key findings section.

Please direct any questions to eugrantsfunding@ukri.org in the first instance.